

Communication Achieving Successful Outcomes of Inter-organizational Learning

Haijiang Long
Napaporn Kathanapha
Southeast Asia University
E-mail: S6372A20032@Sau.ac.th



Received: 17 January 2025; Revised: 15 April 2025; Accepted: 26 April 2025

Abstract

This article explores the pivotal role of communication in achieving successful outcomes in inter-organizational learning. It emphasizes that communication is the backbone of collaboration, enabling consensus-building, trust, and collective identity among members. Through various forms—ranging from informal dialogues to structured exchanges—communication fosters openness and mutual understanding, laying the groundwork for collaborative and individual learning. Effective communication not only reduces ambiguity but also aligns organizational objectives, ensuring smoother operations and improved problem-solving capabilities. The contents identify five key factors contributing to the success of inter-organizational learning: effective communication, resource sharing, management support, trust, and shared goals. Resource sharing enhances innovation and operational efficiency by pooling diverse expertise, technologies, and knowledge, overcoming organizational silos. Management support, particularly transformational leadership, provides the vision, resources, and motivation necessary for sustained collaboration. Trust emerges as a foundational element, facilitating open knowledge exchange, reducing perceived risks, and fostering a culture of collaboration. Lastly, shared goals align the efforts of all parties, ensuring focus on mutually beneficial outcomes and long-term commitment.

The findings highlight that these factors are interdependent and collectively strengthen inter-organizational learning. Trust and communication, for instance, reinforce shared goals, while resource sharing and management support enhance trust and collaboration. The study also examines the unique dynamics of social enterprises, noting how their dual pursuit of economic and social values influences inter-organizational learning and innovation. Ultimately, this research underscores that effective communication and aligned strategies are essential for transforming cooperative potential into tangible, innovative outcomes in diverse organizational contexts.

Keywords: Communication, Achieving Successful Outcomes, Inter-organizational Learning

Introduction

Communication is essential for reaching consensus among members, defining processes, building trust, and establishing collective identity. Perrault et al., (2017) consider initial emphasis on developing informal connections a necessary condition for successful learning (Clampitt & De Koch, 2016). Communication and dialogue build open relationships in which trust and understanding are built, making individual and collaborative learning possible. During the learning process, all parties have different knowledge, perspectives and experiences. Therefore, there is a need for a process of reviewing the results and incorporating the differences in the results. This can only happen when members have psychological safety, communication and cohesion. In order to generate ideas and learn, members build capacity through dialogue to reach consensus within the scope of goals. The type of communication in learning evolves through initial communication methods and practices into informal dialogue, formal dialogue, conflict and connection, which are necessary processes to maintain collective identity and learning while striving to achieve results. Communication is well known as the first step to building collaborative learning. In the words of (Edmondson & Woolley, 2021) “Transforming cooperative potential into actual collaborative learning requires appropriate communication and transparency”. Before members engage in learning, connections need to be made between members, beginning with communication (Wageman et al., 2020). When organizational goals and tasks differ, communication can present challenges for overall learning. Development of learning architecture begins with broad dialogues connecting members to the central issue, and focused dialogues connecting members to each other.

Communication is essential for reaching consensus among members, defining processes, building trust, and establishing collective identity. Perrault et al. (2017) consider initial emphasis on developing informal connections a necessary condition for successful learning (Clampitt & De Koch, 2016). Communication and dialogue build open relationships where trust and understanding are established, making individual and collaborative learning possible.

During the learning process, members bring different knowledge, perspectives, and experiences. Therefore, mechanisms for reviewing and incorporating those differences must exist. This only occurs when there is psychological safety, open communication, and cohesion. Dialogue helps build consensus, evolving from informal to formal exchanges, and sometimes into constructive conflict, all of which shape collective identity.

As Edmondson & Woolley (2021) put it, “Transforming cooperative potential into actual collaborative learning requires appropriate communication and transparency.” Learning begins with relationships, which begin with communication (Wageman et al., 2020).

Effective Communication

Effective communication forms the foundation of collaboration and knowledge exchange. It encompasses multiple dimensions, including clarity of language, frequency of contact, timing, responsiveness, tone, and the appropriateness of selected communication channels (e.g., face-to-face, video conferencing, emails, messaging apps). As a prerequisite for building trust, communication helps articulate expectations, define responsibilities, clarify roles, and reduce the ambiguity that often plagues multi-organizational collaborations (Perrault et al., 2017). According to Clampitt and De Koch (2016), effective communication reduces uncertainty and serves as a key that unlocks the potential for collaborative learning. Edmondson & Woolley (2021) further emphasize its role in enhancing collective problem-solving and enabling psychological safety within and between teams. Heath and Bryant (2018) provide strategies to align organizational goals through communication, arguing that organizations can avoid misalignment through consistent messaging. From the perspective of Media Richness Theory (Daft & Lengel, 1986), richer communication channels—such as face-to-face meetings or live video—are better suited to managing complexity and ambiguity, both of which are common in inter-organizational partnerships. Meanwhile, Quirke (2017) contrasts structured with unstructured forms of communication, highlighting that informal interactions often build stronger relational ties, while formal structures enhance clarity, accountability, and role definition. Moreover, effective communication evolves over time, growing more nuanced as trust deepens. In early stages, it may require explicitness and clarity, whereas mature collaborations benefit from implicit understanding, shared vocabulary, and culturally attuned messaging. In this way, communication becomes an adaptive process that mirrors the evolving nature of inter-organizational relationships.

Resource Sharing

Resource sharing includes both tangible resources—such as technology, financial capital, infrastructure—and intangible assets like expertise, proprietary knowledge, organizational culture, and innovative capacity. This exchange is not merely transactional but forms the very lifeblood of sustainable collaboration across organizations. Gupta and Batra (2016) highlight how resource sharing can dismantle knowledge silos, catalyzing creativity and fostering strategic alignment between entities. Lane and Lubatkin (2018) reinforce the idea that shared access to critical knowledge fills expertise gaps and drives faster learning cycles. Lambing and Kuehl (2018) underline the importance of cost efficiency achieved through pooling of shared assets, which not only conserves individual organizational resources but also amplifies collective capacity. Pfeffer and Fong (1978), through Resource Dependence Theory, argue that organizations engage in partnerships primarily to manage uncertainty and acquire critical resources, suggesting that

dependency fosters collaboration rather than competition. Osterwalder et al. (2014) emphasize that innovation is supercharged when organizations gain access to unique capabilities that lie beyond their own boundaries. This is particularly valuable in fast-changing environments where internal development may lag behind market demands. Barringer and Harrison (2000) add that standardizing procedures, expectations, and resource interfaces across organizations minimizes waste, eliminates redundancy, and strengthens interoperability. In practice, successful resource sharing often involves negotiation, adaptability, and a willingness to recognize the strengths of others. It requires infrastructure for governance, mechanisms for equitable contribution and access, and a shared understanding of strategic intent.

Management Support

Management support encompasses visionary leadership, effective distribution of resources, and the endorsement of a collaborative organizational culture. It is not limited to top-down directives but includes fostering an environment where shared learning and mutual accountability thrive. According to Rousseau et al. (1998), leadership backing is pivotal in ensuring that collaborative efforts have the legitimacy and momentum required for long-term success. McGravey et al. (2023) associate transformational leadership—characterized by motivation, inspiration, and empowerment—with positive learning outcomes across organizational boundaries. Similarly, Gu and Cui (2023) emphasize that when leaders actively endorse openness and learning, it significantly reduces organizational resistance to knowledge sharing and change. Robbins and Coulter (2016) suggest that when managers visibly support collaborative goals, team members are more inclined to participate with enthusiasm and ownership. Yukl (2012) further confirms that the style of leadership—whether transformational, participative, or directive—can deeply influence the tone and effectiveness of inter-organizational engagement.

Leadership also plays a key role in aligning cross-functional objectives, bridging gaps between diverse organizational cultures, and sustaining momentum when challenges arise. Without clear and committed leadership, even the most well-intentioned collaborative initiatives risk stagnation or misalignment.

Trust

Trust is the cornerstone of genuine collaboration and open knowledge exchange. It serves as the invisible framework that enables organizations to share insights, accept feedback, and manage uncertainties without fear of exploitation or betrayal. Edmondson (2018) emphasizes trust as critical for navigating conflict and creating psychological safety, while Wang (2023) highlights how it minimizes the perceived risks associated with disclosing sensitive information across organizational boundaries.

Mayer et al. (1995) identify three key dimensions of trust—ability, integrity, and benevolence—all of which are necessary to establish reliability between partners. Zaheer et al. (1998) further assert that trust contributes to more durable alliances by lowering transaction costs and reducing the need for strict oversight mechanisms.

Rousseau et al. (1998) introduce the idea of a "zone of comfort," where trust allows organizations to act without constant verification. Meanwhile, Waitzer & Paul (2024) explore trust-building through dynamic interpersonal processes such as emotional attachment, periods of complacency, and the risk of neglect, acknowledging that trust is not static but continually evolving.

In collaborative settings, trust is forged not through declarations, but through consistent action, mutual respect, and the resolution of minor frictions over time. It often begins tentatively and grows through repeated positive experiences, reinforced by predictability, transparency, and shared successes.

Shared Goals

Shared goals act as navigational compasses that align the efforts and intentions of multiple organizations. They provide a unified direction that enables coordinated decision-making and reduces the likelihood of misaligned priorities. According to Zhong & Zhang (2021), the presence of clearly defined and mutually agreed-upon goals can significantly enhance motivation and minimize friction among collaborating entities. GEM (2022) further highlights that a shared vision creates a psychological sense of belonging, encouraging deeper engagement and participation from all members involved.

Kaplan and Norton (2006), through their Balanced Scorecard framework, assert that strategic alignment through shared goals is instrumental in improving organizational effectiveness and accountability. Puranam et al. (2012) introduce the concept of goal congruence, where organizations benefit from streamlined cooperation due to a reduction in conflicting interests. Similarly, Tushman and O'Reilly (1996) suggest that shared goals cultivate long-term commitment by fostering a sense of collective purpose and mutual investment in outcomes.

Success of Inter-Organizational Learning

The success of inter-organizational learning can be evaluated through a variety of lenses, including the generation of innovative solutions, enhanced operational efficiency, and the deepening of inter-organizational relationships (Gupta & Batra, 2016). When organizations collaborate and integrate their respective knowledge, they create a synergistic advantage that would be difficult to achieve in isolation. Cohen and Levinthal (2019) underscore the value of knowledge pooling, suggesting that organizations gain a strategic edge through collective intelligence. Huber (1991) emphasizes that success is marked by the practical application of

acquired knowledge—when learning tangibly improves decision-making, performance, or problem-solving capabilities. Argote and Ingram (2000) further highlight the critical role of knowledge transfer: for learning to be truly effective, it must transcend organizational boundaries and be adopted by various entities within the network. Inkpen and Tsang (2005) advance this idea through the concept of a "learning network," wherein organizations are connected through ongoing knowledge exchanges that reinforce adaptive capacity and collaborative resilience. Long-term success is not simply about achieving goals but about embedding the capacity to learn into the DNA of organizational collaboration. This includes creating systems, cultures, and routines that facilitate continuous reflection, feedback, and innovation.

Communication achieving successful outcomes of inter-organizational learning

From in-depth interviews, Communication Achieving Successful outcomes of Inter-organizational learning had a clear that Effective Communication, Resource Sharing, Management Support, Trust, and Shared Goals—are critical in achieving successful outcomes in inter-organizational learning because each one contributes uniquely to the process of learning and collaboration between organizations. Communication is the backbone of any partnership. In inter-organizational learning, effective communication ensures that ideas, knowledge, and feedback flow freely between organizations. Clear and transparent communication helps avoid misunderstandings, fosters a shared understanding, and promotes continuous dialogue.

When communication is effective, it supports knowledge transfer, aligns expectations, and allows organizations to resolve issues quickly, all of which enhances the learning process. Sharing resources (such as data, technology, and expertise) allows organizations to benefit from each other's strengths. Access to diverse resources also enables organizations to address knowledge gaps and improve operational efficiency.

When organizations share resources, they create synergies and maximize value, which are critical for joint problem-solving and innovative solutions. It also demonstrates commitment, helping to build trust and strengthen the collaborative relationship.

management is essential for driving inter-organizational learning initiatives. It ensures that the collaboration has the necessary authority, resources, and alignment with the strategic goals of each organization.

With management support, organizations are more likely to commit resources and personnel to the partnership, and employees are more motivated to engage in collaborative efforts. This support also sets a positive tone, emphasizing the importance of partnership and encouraging active participation from all levels of the organization. Trust is fundamental in any partnership, especially in inter-organizational learning, where knowledge sharing can be sensitive. Trust allows organizations to communicate openly, share valuable insights, and be vulnerable without fearing exploitation. High levels of trust reduce the need for overly formalized controls,

which can be costly and slow down collaboration. Trust enables a culture of openness, making it easier to resolve conflicts and creating a safe space for experimenting and sharing lessons learned. When organizations have shared goals, they are aligned in purpose and direction, which helps them work more effectively together. Shared goals provide a common purpose, helping to coordinate efforts and keep the focus on mutually beneficial outcomes.

Shared goals increase commitment from both parties, minimize conflicts of interest, and ensure that resources are directed toward achieving agreed-upon outcomes. They also foster a sense of partnership, making both parties more likely to invest in the collaboration and its long-term success.

Trust is an emerging factor in effective learning that increases over time. Gunn et al., (2021) discussed how trust becomes particularly important without mandatory cooperation (Edmondson & Woolley, 2021). Building trust within a team is an iterative process. Through discussion, trust can develop because loyal members always show mutual respect and understanding. Trust is manifested through interdependence, willingness to participate in dialogue, and showing respect and predictable behavior. These behaviors establish trust in an iterative manner and support collaborative processes (Gu & Cui, 2023). Members establish trust through actions, and over time, through continuous discussions and evolving member relationships, trust will increase. Although trust comes from the reliability, predictability, and participation exhibited by members, the existence of trust can also lead to innovation and knowledge development (Wang, 2023).

In collaborative learning, members with predictable experience are more likely to establish membership relationships and trust. Trust is a necessary factor in generating constructive conflicts, providing members with the opportunity to express their opinions and develop better solutions. When members know that conflicts will not escalate or disrupt relationships due to trust, an open ideological environment is formed.

Trust is the foundation for building team cohesion and common goals, and it has important practical significance in uniting different organizational levels in inter organizational learning. The three independent processes related to trust development affect the strength and feasibility of relationship practice. These three processes as relationship attachment, partner complacency, and partner neglect. The questions that determine the strength and feasibility of trust include: what is the degree of attachment between members? How many caring partnerships do members have? How much confidence does one participant have in the behavior of another participant? Throughout the learning process, these processes are dynamic, constantly evolving, and determine the level of trust and team cohesion (Waitzer & Paul, 2024). Successful learning members express that building trust involves constantly managing difficult conversations, conflicts of interest, and ideas throughout the process. Trust comes from the interaction between team members and increases with successful resolution of conflicts. By

taking concerted action to build trust and establish a team culture of mutual respect and trust, the power of learning can be enhanced and collective identity strengthened. On the basis of trust, it provides a platform for members to discuss common goals.

Conclusion

Communication is essential for reaching consensus among members, defining processes, building trust, and establishing collective identity. Perrault et al. consider initial emphasis on developing informal connections a necessary condition for successful learning (Perrault et al., 2017). Communication and dialogue build open relationships in which trust and understanding are built, making individual and collaborative learning possible

While each factor—communication, resource sharing, management support, trust, and shared goals—plays a vital role, it is their interplay that forms the foundation of effective inter-organizational learning. Communication builds trust and aligns goals. Resource sharing demonstrates commitment. Management provides momentum and alignment. Trust creates safety, and shared goals sustain effort.

These insights are not prescriptive formulas but navigational beacons. For diverse organizations in dynamic environments, success lies in recognizing that learning is a process—a deeply human one—built on dialogue, respect, and shared vision.

Reference

Argote, L., & Ingram, P. (2000). Knowledge transfer: A basis for competitive advantage in firms. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 82(1), 150–169.

Barringer, B. R., & Harrison, J. S. (2000). Walking a tightrope: Creating value through interorganizational relationships. *Journal of Management*. 26(3), 367–403.

Clampitt, P. G., & De Koch, R. A. (2016). *Transforming information into knowledge: Navigating the competitive landscape*. Routledge.

Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (2019). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 35(1), 128–152.

Daft, R. L., & Lengel, R. H. (1986). Organizational information requirements, media richness, and structural design. *Management Science*. 32(5), 554–571.

Edmondson, A. C., & Woolley, A. W. (2021). Building a psychologically safe workplace. *Harvard Business Review*. 12(11), 4392.

GEM (Global Entrepreneurship Monitor). (2022). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor 2021/2022 Global Report: Opportunity Amid Disruption*. London: GEM.

Gu, Q., & Cui, H. (2023). Employee ownership and firm performance: A variance decomposition analysis of European firms. *Journal of Business Research*. 70, 248–254.

Gunn, J., et al. (2021). Barriers to hepatitis C treatment among secondary needle and syringe program clients and opportunities to intervene. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 96, 103387.

Gupta, V. K., & Batra, S. (2016). Entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance in Indian SMEs: Universal and contingency perspectives. *International Small Business Journal*. 34(5), 660–682.

Heath, R. L., & Bryant, J. (2018). Human communication theory and research: Concepts, contexts, and challenges. Routledge.

Huber, G. P. (1991). Organizational learning: The contributing processes and the literatures. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 88–115.

Inkpen, A. C., & Tsang, E. W. K. (2005). Social capital, networks, and knowledge transfer. *Academy of Management Review*, 30(1), 146–165.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2006). The balanced scorecard: Translating strategy into action. Harvard Business Review Press.

Lambing, P., & Kuehl, C. R. (2018). Entrepreneurship. 3rd ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Lane, P. J., & Lubatkin, M. (2018). Entrepreneurial orientation, environmental characteristics, and business model threats and strategic orientation. *Long Range Planning*. 50(5), 567–580.

Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of Management Review*. 20(3), 709–734.

McGravey, T., Reardon, K., & Lapidés, P. (2023). Leadership for interorganizational learning: Lessons from the healthcare sector. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*. 37(2), 290–305.

Osterwalder, A., Pigneur, Y., & Bernarda, G. (2014). Value proposition design: How to create products and services customers want. Wiley.

Perrault, E., McClelland, M., Austin, C., & Sieppert, J. (2017). Working together in collaborations: Successful process factors for community collaboration. *Administration in Social Work*. 35(3), 282–298.

Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective. Harper & Row.

Puranam, P., Raveendran, M., & Knudsen, T. (2012). Organization design: The epistemic interdependence perspective. *Academy of Management Review*. 37(3), 419–440.

Quirke, B. (2017). Making the connections: Using internal communication to turn strategy into action. Gower Publishing.

Robbins, S. P., & Coulter, M. (2016). Management. 13th ed. Pearson.

Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not so different after all: A cross-discipline view of trust. *Academy of Management Review*. 23(3), 393–404.

Tushman, M. L., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review*. 38(4), 8–30.

Wageman, R., Hackman, J. R., & Lehman, E. V. (2020). More than meets the eye: The functional components underlying influencer marketing. *Business Horizons*. 63(4), 469–479.

Waitzer, J. M., & Paul, R. (2024). Building trust in cross-sector partnerships: Insights from the non-profit sector. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*. 34(3), 315–332.

Wang, Q. (2023). Collaborative innovation and trust in inter-organizational partnerships. *Journal of Business Research*. 148, 178–186.

Yukl, G. (2012). *Leadership in organizations*. 8th ed. Pearson.

Zaheer, A., et al. (1998). Does trust matter? Exploring the effects of interorganizational and interpersonal trust on performance. *Organization Science*. 9(2), 141–159.

Zhong, W., & Zhang, Y. (2021). A conceptual review on entrepreneurial marketing and business sustainability in small and medium enterprises. *World Development Sustainability*. 2, 100039.