

# SMART EDUCATION IN THE DIGITAL AGE: ITS IMPACT ON STUDENT ENGAGEMENT AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE\*

Rerkchai Ponsri<sup>1</sup>, Tasnee Keawngam<sup>2</sup>, Wenxin Dong Wei Shang<sup>3</sup>

and Natphitsa Chaowanachaemchunt<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1-4</sup>International College, Pathumthani University, Thailand

Corresponding Author's Email: rerkchaiz1955@gmail.com

Received 30 March 2025; Revised 21 July 2025; Accepted 23 July 2025

## Abstract

As digital transformation reshapes the education sector, Smart Education has emerged as a key approach to enhancing student engagement and academic performance through artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and cloud computing. This study explores educators' perceptions of Smart Education, exploring its effectiveness, challenges, and implications in modern learning environments. Using a qualitative research approach, in-depth interviews were conducted with ten educators who have experience implementing Smart Education technologies. Content analysis was performed.

The findings reveal that interactive digital tools and AI-driven learning platforms significantly enhance student motivation, participation, and knowledge retention. However, challenges such as technological disparities, faculty resistance, and ethical concerns related to AI-generated assessments

Citation:



\*

Rerkchai Ponsri, Tasnee Keawngam, Wenxin Dong Wei Shang and Natphitsa Chaowanachaemchunt. (2025). Smart Education In The Digital Age: Its Impact On Student Engagement And Academic Performance.

Modern Academic Development and Promotion Journal, 3(6), 562-573.;

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.>

<https://so12.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/MADPIADP/>

and data privacy remain key barriers to successful implementation. The findings contribute to ongoing discussions on digital learning innovations and offer practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and technology developers to optimize Smart Education in diverse academic settings.

**Keywords:** Smart Education, Student Engagement, Academic Performance, Educators' Perceptions

## Introduction

The advancement of information technology (IT) has made it easier for educators to transform their teaching methods and generate new ideas in education, playing a crucial role in optimizing students' learning experiences. As digital transformation continues to reshape various industries, education is no exception. Smart Education, an emerging approach, is gaining traction among young learners in the digital era. This model highlights how technology-driven education enhances the transfer of knowledge and skills, making learning more efficient and accessible.

Smart Education leverages artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and cloud computing to create personalized, interactive, and adaptive learning experiences. These technologies enable real-time feedback, data-driven decision-making, and tailored instructional strategies that cater to diverse learning needs. By integrating smart educational applications, educators can enhance engagement, facilitate deeper comprehension, and promote knowledge retention, leading to improved academic performance.

However, despite its growing adoption, the effectiveness of Smart Education in fostering meaningful learning outcomes depends significantly on educators' perceptions, experiences, and ability to integrate these technologies into their teaching practices. Hence, this qualitative study aims to examine

educators' perceptions of Smart Education and its role in modern learning environments. Specifically, it explores how Smart Education influences student engagement, knowledge retention, and academic performance. The findings will contribute to the ongoing discourse on digital learning innovations and inform policy decisions regarding the future of education in the digital age.

## Objectives

This study explores educators' perceptions of Smart Education and its role in modern learning environments, as well as assesses its perceived impact on student engagement and academic performance.

## Literature Review

Smart applications, known for their distinctive and practical features, are recognized as effective tools for fostering sustainable student learning. When utilized for educational purposes, these applications are commonly referred to as smart educational applications (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010; Siripipattanakul et al., 2022; Timotheou et al., 2023). These tools have become central to Smart Education, which emphasizes the integration of advanced technologies in the classroom to enrich learning experiences. According to UNESCO IITE, COL, and BNU (2022), while numerous studies emphasize the potential benefits of Smart Education—such as enhanced accessibility, flexibility, and personalized learning pathways—its actual impact on student engagement and academic performance remains a topic of ongoing debate. Educators, as key facilitators of the learning process, play a pivotal role in determining the effectiveness of Smart Education implementation. Their insights into its strengths, limitations, and practical challenges are essential for developing strategies that maximize its benefits while addressing barriers to adoption.

Factors such as digital literacy, technological infrastructure, and resistance to change among both educators and students can significantly influence the successful integration of Smart Education into the classroom (Firdausi et al., 2024; Haleem et al., 2022; Kavitha et al., 2025; Mhlongo et al., 2023). These challenges underscore the need for deeper exploration of how educators perceive and utilize Smart Education in diverse educational settings. Past research also emphasizes that successful Smart Education implementation must consider the contextual realities faced by educators, including institutional support and access to resources (Badshah et al., 2023; Dehbi et al., 2024; Limna & Kraiwanit, 2024; Praveen & Kumari, 2025; Shaengchart et al., 2025).

## Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research design to explore educators' perceptions of Smart Education and its impact on modern learning environments. Qualitative methods were chosen to gain deep insights into the experiences, challenges, and benefits associated with implementing Smart Education tools. Using purposive sampling, ten educators with direct experience in implementing Smart Education technologies in their teaching practices. Participants included instructors from various educational institutions who have integrated digital learning tools, artificial intelligence-driven platforms, or other smart educational applications into their curricula. The inclusion criteria for participants are: 1) at least one year of experience using Smart Education tools in the classroom; 2) active involvement in designing or implementing digital learning strategies; and 3) willingness to share insights regarding the benefits and challenges of Smart Education. A diverse sample of educators was selected to capture a broad range of perspectives on the effectiveness, limitations, and future potential of Smart Education. Data was collected through in-depth semi-

structured interviews with educators and stakeholders. This method allows participants to share detailed perspectives while enabling the researcher to probe for further insights. The interviews focus on key themes, including: 1) perceived advantages of Smart Education in enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes; 2) challenges associated with the adoption and integration of Smart Education technologies; and 3) the role of institutional support, digital literacy, and technological infrastructure in shaping Smart Education experiences. Interviews were conducted face-to-face or via online video conferencing platforms, depending on participant availability and preferences. Each interview was recorded (with participants' consent) and transcribed for analysis.

The study employed content analysis to systematically examine and interpret the qualitative data collected from interviews. Content analysis is an effective method for identifying patterns, themes, and categories within textual data (Klayklung et al., 2023; Phuangsuwan et al., 2024; Rafiyya et al., 2024; Siripipatthanakul et al., 2024), allowing for a structured evaluation of educators' perspectives on Smart Education. To enhance the reliability of the content analysis, the study also employed inter-coder agreement, where multiple researchers independently coded a subset of the data to ensure consistency in theme identification.

## Results

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into educators' perceptions of Smart Education and its impact on student engagement and academic performance. Through in-depth interviews with educators from various educational institutions, several key themes emerged regarding the benefits, challenges, and overall effectiveness of Smart Education.

**1. Enhanced Student Engagement.** Most educators reported that Smart Education significantly enhanced student engagement through the use of interactive digital tools, AI-driven platforms, and gamified applications. These technologies fostered dynamic learning environments that encouraged active participation and ownership of the learning process. Personalized and adaptive learning systems tailored to individual student needs increased motivation and interest. Real-time feedback, enabled by AI assessments, helped students monitor progress and adjust their strategies. Overall, the shift towards Smart Education, with its emphasis on interactivity, personalization, and timely feedback, was widely recognized as a transformative approach that fostered a deeper level of engagement, helping students develop a more active role in their own learning.

**2. Improved Knowledge Retention and Academic Performance.** Most educators observed a positive link between Smart Education and improved knowledge retention. Students who used smart educational applications showed better understanding of complex topics through interactive and immersive learning experiences. Active learning strategies, such as simulations and multimedia content, helped improve comprehension, especially in challenging subjects like mathematics and science. AI-driven content recommendations personalized learning, enhancing outcomes. However, some educators emphasized the importance of digital literacy and self-discipline for success. In summary, while the integration of Smart Education showed promising improvements in knowledge retention and academic performance, it was clear that its success hinged on a combination of technological support, personalized learning strategies, and the students' ability to effectively engage with the digital tools available to them.

**3. Challenges in Implementation.** Despite the advantages of Smart Education, educators identified several challenges in its implementation. A major concern was the digital divide, which affected students from lower-income backgrounds who faced barriers to accessing necessary technology, such as smart

devices and high-speed internet. This inequality led to disparities in learning opportunities, hindering equal participation in digital education. Additionally, varying technological infrastructures across institutions created challenges, as some had advanced systems while others struggled with outdated technology and limited resources. Resistance to change among faculty members, especially those unfamiliar with digital tools, also posed a significant hurdle. Addressing these issues will require targeted efforts to ensure equitable access to technology, improve institutional support for digital initiatives, and encourage faculty members to embrace new teaching methodologies.

**4. The Role of Institutional Support.** Institutional support plays a key role in the effective implementation of Smart Education. Educators emphasized that ongoing professional development is essential to enhance digital literacy and teaching strategies. Workshops, training sessions, and access to digital tools help educators integrate technology into their classrooms. Institutions with strong training programs and adequate resources—such as digital platforms, interactive tools, and IT support—were more successful in adopting Smart Education. Leadership also matters; leaders who promote digital innovation help guide and motivate staff and students. Clear policies on digital tool usage ensure consistency across departments. In summary, institutional support played an integral role in the successful integration of Smart Education. By providing ongoing professional development, allocating resources for digital tools, and fostering strong leadership, institutions were able to create an environment where technology-enhanced learning could thrive, benefiting both educators and students.

**5. Ethical and Pedagogical Considerations.** Ethical and pedagogical concerns were prominent in the interviews regarding Smart Education. Educators expressed worries about data privacy, academic integrity, and the role of AI in teaching. They emphasized the need for strong privacy protections and clear guidelines to safeguard personal data. Concerns about AI promoting plagiarism or over-reliance on generated content were raised, with educators advocating for

policies on ethical AI use and educating students on intellectual responsibility. They also stressed the importance of maintaining human interaction and critical thinking. In conclusion, while Smart Education holds great promise, it is accompanied by ethical and pedagogical challenges. To maximize its benefits, educators argued for the establishment of ethical frameworks surrounding data privacy and AI use, as well as a balanced approach that incorporates both technological tools and human interaction in the learning process. These considerations are essential to ensuring that Smart Education enhances, rather than detracts from, the quality of education.

## Discussion

This study underscores the transformative potential of Smart Education in enhancing student engagement, knowledge retention, and academic performance, echoing previous research on the role of digital technologies in education (Badshah et al., 2023; Limna et al., 2023). Smart Education tools, such as AI-powered platforms and gamified applications, have proven to foster active student participation, aligning with findings that interactive tools increase engagement (Firdausi et al., 2024; Haleem et al., 2022). However, concerns were raised about students' over-reliance on digital resources, potentially hindering critical thinking and independent learning. The study also highlights the positive effects of AI-driven personalization and adaptive learning strategies on knowledge retention, with students demonstrating better comprehension and academic outcomes (Idowu, 2024; Kavitha et al., 2025). However, challenges like the digital divide, faculty resistance, and technological limitations persist. Ethical concerns around data privacy, AI assessments, and academic integrity also emerged, with educators calling for clear policies to ensure fairness and transparency in AI's role in education.

In conclusion, while Smart Education offers significant benefits, its successful adoption depends on addressing technological, pedagogical, and ethical challenges. To fully leverage its potential, institutions and policymakers, as well as other stakeholders, must prioritize bridging the digital divide, enhancing faculty training, promoting balanced AI integration, and establishing clear ethical governance frameworks. By doing so, Smart Education can evolve into a sustainable and inclusive learning model that enhances academic outcomes while preparing students for the demands of the digital era.

## Recommendation

For policymakers, the study emphasizes the necessity for strong regulatory frameworks to ensure equitable access to Smart Education tools, protect student data privacy, and regulate ethical AI use. Governments are urged to initiate nationwide efforts to enhance digital infrastructure, particularly in underserved regions, to ensure that technological advancements benefit a broad spectrum of learners. Moreover, AI in education must be governed by clear ethical standards, addressing concerns about biases in automated assessments, AI decision-making transparency, and data protection.

From an academic, this research adds to the growing body of knowledge on AI-driven learning models and technology-enhanced education. It highlights the significance of personalized learning pathways and real-time feedback in improving student outcomes and underscores the need for a balanced approach that integrates AI with human-centered teaching. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term effects of Smart Education, career readiness, cognitive development, and cross-cultural comparisons to inform best practices globally.

## References

- Badshah, A., Ghani, A., Daud, A., Jalal, A., Bilal, M., & Crowcroft, J. (2023). Towards smart education through internet of things: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(2), 1-33.
- Dehbi, A., Bakhouyi, A., Dehbi, R., & Talea, M. (2024, December). *Towards a Smart Learning Environment: A Model for Smart Education using IoT and AI*. In 2024 6th International Symposium on Advanced Electrical and Communication Technologies (ISAECT) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255–284.
- Firdausi, N., Fithriyah, N. N., Ummah, R., Abidin, M. A., Nirwana, R., Achmad, W., & Kuswanto, F. (2024, September). *Integrating Smart Education in Basic Education: A Systematic Review of Roles and Challenges*. In 2024 International Conference on ICT for Smart Society (ICISS) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3, 275-285.
- Idowu, E. (2024). *Personalized learning: Tailoring instruction to individual student needs*. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202411.0863.v1>
- Kavitha, R. K., Krupa, C. R., & Kaarthikheyan, V. *AI-Powered Digital Solutions for Smart Learning: Revolutionizing Education*. In Cybersecurity and Data Science Innovations for Sustainable Development of HEICC (pp. 213-227). CRC Press.

- Klayklung, P., Chocksathaporn, P., Limna, L., Kraiwanit, T., & Jangjarat, K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with ChatGPT: Enhancing learning through conversational AI. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 2(3), 217-225.
- Limna, P., & Kraiwanit, T. (2024). Refining words with AI: ChatGPT in language editing for social sciences - A graduate students' perspective. *Trends of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*, 12(2), 91-115.
- Limna, P., Kraiwanit, T., Jangjarat, K., Klayklung, P., & Chocksathaporn, P. (2023). The use of ChatGPT in the digital era: Perspectives on chatbot implementation. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, 6(1), 64-74.
- Mhlongo, S., Mbatha, K., Ramatsetse, B., & Dlamini, R. (2023). Challenges, opportunities, and prospects of adopting and using smart digital technologies in learning environments: An iterative review. *Heliyon*, 9(6), e16348.
- Phuangsuwan, P., Siripipatthanakul, S., Limna, P., & Siripipattanakul, S. (2024). Grammar and plagiarism checking using Grammarly for English learners: A systematic review. *Journal of Wisdom Political Science and Multidisciplinary Sciences*, 7(3), 1-20.
- Praveen, N., & Kumari, N. (2025). Machine Learning Concepts in Education and Skill Development: Cloud Solutions for Smart Cities. In *AI and Green Technology Applications in Society* (pp. 219-248). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Rafiyya, A., Kraiwanit, T., Limna, P., Sonsuphap, R., Kasrisom, A., & Snongtaweepon, T. (2024). Early childhood social-emotional development: An impact on a developing country. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(5), 3081-3089.

- Shaengchart, Y., Bhumpenpein, N., & Limna, P. (2025). Determinants of Google's Gemini AI chatbot adoption among higher education students in Bangkok, Thailand. *Disciplinary Journal of Buriram Rajabhat University*, 9(1), 38-52.
- Siripipatthanakul, S., Limna, P., Kraiwanit, T., & Siripipattanakul, S. (2022). *Predicting intention to use smart education technology during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of higher education students in Thailand*. In International Conference on Research and Development (ICORAD) (Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 8-22).
- Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., ... & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28, 6695-6726.
- UNESCO IITE, COL & BNU. (2022). *Smart education strategies for teaching and learning: Critical analytical framework and case studies*. Moscow: UNESCO IITE.
- Woodeson, K., Limna, P., & Nga-Fa, N. (2023). Students' vocabulary learning difficulties and teachers' strategies: A qualitative case study of Ammartpanichnukul School, Krabi in Thailand. *Advance Knowledge for Executives*, 2(1), 1-9.