

NARCISSISTIC PERSONALITY DISORDER IN ORGANIZATIONAL CONTEXTS: NEUROBIOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS WORKPLACE DYNAMICS AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS*

Suttipong Thumtheang¹ and Kajornatthapol Pongwiritthon²

¹M.D., Independent Researcher and Entrepreneur, IDE Clinic

²Faculty of Business Administration, Northern College, Thailand, IQRA Business School, University of Geomatika Malaysia

Corresponding Author's Email: Thumtheang99@gmail.com

Received 22 March 2026; Revised 31 March 2026; Accepted 2 April 2026

Abstract

This article aims to synthesize interdisciplinary perspectives on NPD by integrating neurobiological, behavioral, and organizational frameworks. Specifically, it examines the underlying brain mechanisms associated with narcissistic traits, their manifestation in leadership and workplace behavior, and their implications for both clinical practice and organizational management.

This study adopts a qualitative integrative review approach, drawing upon contemporary literature in psychiatry, developmental psychology, neuroscience, and organizational studies. The findings indicate that narcissism is best conceptualized as a multidimensional construct encompassing both grandiose and vulnerable dimensions, characterized by instability in self-esteem and impaired interpersonal functioning. Neurobiological evidence highlights alterations in brain regions associated with self-referential processing and

Citation:



* Suttipong Thumtheang and Kajornatthapol Pongwiritthon. (2026). Narcissistic Personality Disorder In Organizational Contexts: Neurobiological Foundations Workplace Dynamics And Clinical Implications.

Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Development, 4(2), 1004-1019.;

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.>

Website: <https://so12.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/JISDIADP/>

emotional regulation, particularly the medial prefrontal cortex and anterior insula.

In organizational contexts, individuals with narcissistic traits may initially exhibit leadership emergence through confidence and charisma; however, these traits are associated with long-term negative outcomes, including interpersonal conflict, reduced team cohesion, and diminished workplace morale. Such effects may be amplified in hierarchical cultural environments, where authority structures can limit corrective feedback.

This article contributes to the literature by offering an integrated biopsychosocial framework that bridges gaps between clinical, neuroscientific, and organizational perspectives. The findings provide important implications for improving diagnostic accuracy, informing therapeutic interventions, and promoting healthier organizational practices.

Keywords: Narcissistic Personality Disorder, Pathological Narcissism, Neurobiology, Organizational Culture

Introduction

Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD) is a psychiatric condition defined by a pervasive pattern of grandiosity, a persistent need for admiration, and a significant lack of empathy. These core features frequently cause serious disruption in an individual's personal and professional life (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). While many people have narcissistic traits to some degree, NPD represents a more rigid and extreme manifestation where these characteristics become damaging across nearly all contexts. A crucial part of any psychological assessment, therefore, involves distinguishing between normal narcissistic traits and a clinical disorder.

In everyday language, "narcissism" is often used as a catch-all term for anyone who seems arrogant, self-absorbed, or exploitative. These casual labels,

however, rarely capture the psychological complexity of the disorder as understood by clinicians. Such oversimplifications tend to gloss over the deep-seated developmental and structural issues that drive narcissistic behaviors (Pincus et al., 2022; Tyrer et al., 2022). Officially, the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed., text rev.; APA, 2022) classifies NPD as a Cluster B personality disorder. It is characterized by an inflated sense of self-importance, fantasies of unlimited success, a sense of entitlement, a tendency to exploit others, and an inability to feel empathy. Because these traits often feel entirely justified to the individual, they may not recognize a problem with their behavior, which complicates treatment and leads to chronic interpersonal conflict.

Contemporary personality research offers a more nuanced view of narcissism, with modern models distinguishing between two primary expressions: grandiose and vulnerable narcissism (Pincus et al., 2022). Grandiose narcissism aligns with the popular stereotype of overt self-confidence, dominance, and exhibitionism. Vulnerable narcissism, by contrast, is defined by emotional hypersensitivity, defensiveness, and an underlying fragility in self-esteem. These two presentations are not mutually exclusive; an individual might shift between them depending on the situation or in response to perceived threats to their self-image. Recognizing this complexity is vital for accurate assessment and effective treatment planning (Caligor et al., 2024).

Neuroscience is also beginning to shed light on the mechanisms underlying narcissistic pathology. Brain imaging studies have identified structural and functional differences in regions critical for self-perception, emotional regulation, and empathy, including the medial prefrontal cortex, anterior insula, amygdala, and parts of the default mode network (Fan et al., 2022; Schulze et al., 2021). For instance, some research has found reduced gray matter volume in brain areas associated with emotional empathy in individuals with high narcissistic traits (Schulze et al., 2021). Furthermore, recent reviews suggest that the empathy

deficits in narcissism may not be a simple lack of motivation but could stem from fundamental differences in how the brain processes these emotions (Scalabrini et al., 2023). These findings underscore the need to integrate biological and cognitive factors to fully understand narcissistic behavior.

How the brain processes rewards and threats also provides insight into the emotional instability common in narcissism. Individuals with strong narcissistic tendencies often show a heightened reactivity when their self-esteem is challenged, activating neural networks associated with anger and defensive aggression (Chester & DeWall, 2023). This could be the neurological basis for what is often termed "narcissistic rage," where criticism or humiliation provokes a disproportionately explosive emotional reaction. While the exact causes are still under investigation, current evidence points to a dysregulation across the neural systems that manage self-concept, reward processing, and emotional control (Yang & Raine, 2024).

The effects of narcissistic pathology extend beyond the individual, often creating significant challenges in the workplace. In professional settings, particularly in leadership positions, narcissistic traits can initially be mistaken for confidence, charisma, or decisiveness. Over time, however, research has linked leaders with high levels of narcissism to increased conflict, lower team cohesion, and poorer organizational outcomes (Campbell et al., 2023; Nevicka et al., 2021). A meta-analysis confirmed that while moderate narcissism may be associated with leadership emergence, higher levels are correlated with poor ethical decision-making and deteriorating workplace relationships (Grijalva et al., 2020).

This dynamic can be especially potent in the hierarchical corporate cultures common in many Asian societies, including Thailand. In environments where social norms emphasize deference to authority, employees may be reluctant to challenge a problematic leader's behavior. As a result, narcissistic leadership styles may persist unchecked long before any organizational

intervention occurs. The consequences for employees are well-documented, with occupational mental health research linking these toxic work environments to burnout, emotional exhaustion, and psychological distress (Braun, 2022).

Despite a growing body of research, significant gaps in our understanding of NPD remain, particularly in integrating its developmental, neurobiological, and organizational dimensions. Studies tend to examine these domains in isolation, which hinders the development of a comprehensive, unified model of the disorder. Treatment also remains a formidable challenge. Psychotherapy is the primary intervention, while medication is generally reserved for co-occurring conditions like depression, anxiety, or aggression (Kealy & Ogrodniczuk, 2023; Paris, 2023). Treatment efficacy can vary widely and often depends on the individual's level of insight, motivation to change, and the presence of other personality issues (Caligor et al., 2024).

Given these complexities, an integrated approach that brings together clinical, neurobiological, and organizational perspectives on narcissistic personality disorder is essential. Developing a more holistic understanding of NPD is crucial for refining diagnostic criteria, creating more effective therapeutic interventions, and raising awareness about the broader social consequences of narcissistic behavior.

Objective

This review examines narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) from an integrative perspective, drawing on psychiatry, neuroscience, developmental psychology, and organizational research. It aims to clarify diagnostic frameworks, synthesize neurobiological and developmental mechanisms, and evaluate workplace manifestations and clinical management to inform evidence-based practice.

Over the past two decades, conceptualizations of NPD have shifted from predominantly psychoanalytic interpretations toward empirically grounded, multidimensional models (Pincus et al., 2022; Skodol, 2024). Contemporary research characterizes narcissism as a disturbance in self-regulation and interpersonal functioning, rather than a singular personality trait. A key advancement is the distinction between grandiose and vulnerable narcissism, reflecting a broader movement toward dimensional classification beyond DSM-5-TR criteria (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). Developmental and neuroscientific evidence further implicates insecure attachment and altered neural processes related to self-referential thinking and empathy (Caligor et al., 2024; Fan et al., 2022), supporting a comprehensive biopsychosocial framework.

Methodology

Study Design. This narrative integrative review examines contemporary empirical and theoretical literature on narcissistic personality disorder (NPD). We synthesized findings from several fields, including psychiatry, personality psychology, neuroscience, developmental studies, and organizational research. We chose a narrative review design because our goal was to interpret and integrate diverse strands of scholarship, rather than to generate the pooled statistical estimates of a meta-analysis. This approach is particularly fitting for a complex psychological construct like NPD, which spans multiple theoretical frameworks and research traditions. Because narcissistic pathology is studied through the lenses of clinical diagnostics, neurobiology, and organizational dynamics, an integrative review provides the right framework for exploring how these different perspectives intersect (Caligor et al., 2024; Pincus et al., 2022).

Literature Search Strategy. A structured search was carried out across several major academic databases, including PubMed, PsycINFO, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search primarily focused on peer-reviewed studies

published between January 2015 and January 2024 in order to reflect more recent developments in the field. However, earlier landmark publications were also included when they were considered important for understanding the theoretical foundations of narcissism. Search terms were selected to cover both clinical and interdisciplinary perspectives. These included narcissistic personality disorder, pathological narcissism, grandiose narcissism, vulnerable narcissism, neurobiology, default mode network, empathy deficits, leadership narcissism, toxic leadership, psychotherapy, and pharmacotherapy. In addition to database searches, reference lists of relevant articles were examined to identify further studies that met the inclusion criteria. To maintain consistency in clinical terminology, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR) was also consulted (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). Inclusion Criteria and Data Synthesis. We included studies if they were published in a peer-reviewed academic journal, were available in English, and addressed NPD or pathological narcissism within a clinical, developmental, neurobiological, or organizational context. We excluded publications that focused exclusively on nonclinical narcissistic traits or were opinion-based commentaries without empirical or theoretical support.

Instead of statistically aggregating results, we synthesized findings thematically across four primary domains that emerged during the review: (1) diagnostic and dimensional conceptualizations of narcissism, (2) developmental and attachment-related mechanisms, (3) neurobiological and neuroimaging findings, and (4) organizational outcomes of narcissistic leadership. When evaluating the literature, we gave particular weight to replicated neuroimaging evidence in neurobiological research (Fan et al., 2022; Schulze et al., 2021) and to meta-analytic and longitudinal investigations in organizational studies (Grijalva et al., 2020; Nevicka et al., 2021). Evidence on treatment approaches was drawn mainly from psychotherapy reviews and discussions of pharmacological strategies for managing comorbid symptoms (Kealy & Ogrodniczuk, 2023; Paris, 2023).

Ethical Considerations. Because this study relied exclusively on previously published academic literature, it did not involve human participants or identifiable personal data. Our ethical considerations therefore centered on the responsible scholarly representation of personality disorders. We took particular care to avoid stigmatizing language and to maintain a clear distinction between a clinical diagnosis and colloquial uses of the term “narcissism,” consistent with recommendations for responsible discourse in this field of research (Tyrer et al., 2022).

Results

The synthesis of the literature reveals consistent patterns across four major domains: (1) diagnostic and dimensional conceptualization, (2) developmental and psychodynamic mechanisms, (3) neurobiological correlates, and (4) organizational and clinical outcomes. Collectively, these findings support the view of narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) as a multidimensional and dynamic condition characterized by impairments in self-regulation, interpersonal functioning, and emotional processing.

Diagnostic and Dimensional Findings. Across studies, narcissistic pathology is increasingly conceptualized along a continuum, encompassing both grandiose and vulnerable expressions. Grandiose narcissism is associated with dominance, entitlement, and interpersonal exploitation, whereas vulnerable narcissism is characterized by hypersensitivity, shame, and social withdrawal (Pincus et al., 2022). Despite these differences, both dimensions reflect core disturbances in self-esteem regulation and empathy. Evidence further suggests that DSM-5-TR criteria disproportionately capture overt grandiosity, potentially overlooking individuals with predominantly vulnerable presentations (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022; Caligor et al., 2024). Dimensional models emphasizing

impairments in identity, self-direction, empathy, and intimacy therefore provide a more comprehensive framework (Skodol, 2024).

Developmental and Psychodynamic Patterns. Developmental research consistently links narcissistic traits to insecure attachment patterns, particularly avoidant and disorganized styles, as well as inconsistent or maladaptive caregiving environments (Pincus et al., 2022). Psychodynamic accounts further interpret grandiosity as a defensive strategy protecting a fragile self-concept. Clinical and longitudinal findings indicate that behaviors such as self-idealization and devaluation of others are often triggered by perceived threats to self-esteem (Caligor et al., 2024). These patterns support the interpretation of narcissism as a disorder of emotional regulation rather than merely exaggerated self-confidence.

Neurobiological Correlates. Neuroscientific evidence provides converging support for alterations in brain systems associated with self-referential processing and empathy. Structural imaging studies have identified reduced gray matter volume in regions such as the anterior insula and prefrontal cortex (Schulze et al., 2021), while functional studies indicate altered connectivity within the default mode network, including the medial prefrontal and posterior cingulate cortices (Fan et al., 2022). Additionally, individuals with elevated narcissistic traits exhibit reduced neural responses associated with affective empathy, despite relatively preserved cognitive empathy (Scalabrini et al., 2023). Heightened reactivity to ego threat, involving the amygdala and regulatory prefrontal systems, further suggests difficulties in managing negative affect (Chester & DeWall, 2023). Dysregulation in reward-processing pathways may also reinforce persistent validation-seeking behaviors (Yang & Raine, 2024).

Organizational and Clinical Outcomes. In organizational settings, narcissistic traits demonstrate a dual effect. Meta-analytic evidence indicates a positive association with leadership emergence, largely due to perceived confidence and charisma (Grijalva et al., 2020). However, longitudinal findings reveal significant adverse consequences, including interpersonal conflict, reduced

team cohesion, and unethical decision-making (Nevicka et al., 2021; Campbell et al., 2023). These effects are often intensified in high power-distance cultural contexts, where hierarchical norms may limit feedback and accountability. Clinically, psychotherapy remains the primary intervention, with approaches such as transference-focused psychotherapy and schema therapy demonstrating efficacy in improving emotional regulation and interpersonal functioning (Kealy & Ogrodniczuk, 2023; Levy et al., 2022). Nevertheless, treatment outcomes vary, and engagement is frequently hindered by limited insight and defensiveness. Pharmacological interventions are typically reserved for comorbid conditions (Paris, 2023).

Overall, the evidence supports a biopsychosocial and dimensional model of NPD, integrating developmental vulnerabilities, neurobiological mechanisms, and contextual influences. While narcissistic traits may confer short-term advantages, particularly in leadership contexts, they are consistently associated with long-term interpersonal and organizational dysfunction.

Discussion

This review underscores that narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) extends beyond superficial notions of vanity or exaggerated self-confidence and is more accurately conceptualized as a disturbance in self-regulation, identity integration, and interpersonal functioning. Converging evidence from diagnostic, developmental, neurobiological, and organizational domains indicates that pathological narcissism is rooted in an unstable and fragmented sense of self, which necessitates compensatory strategies to maintain psychological equilibrium (Caligor et al., 2024; Pincus et al., 2022). This integrative perspective enables a shift from descriptive symptomatology toward a deeper understanding of the mechanisms sustaining narcissistic behavior across contexts.

A central implication of this synthesis is the importance of dimensional assessment. While categorical systems such as the DSM-5-TR remain foundational (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022), they may insufficiently capture the vulnerable dimension of narcissism, including shame, hypersensitivity, and interpersonal withdrawal (Skodol, 2024). Incorporating both grandiose and vulnerable expressions provides a more comprehensive framework for clinical assessment and aligns with contemporary models emphasizing impairments in identity, empathy, and relational functioning.

Neuroscientific findings further contribute to this multidimensional understanding by identifying alterations in neural systems associated with self-referential processing and emotional regulation, including the medial prefrontal cortex, anterior insula, and default mode network (Fan et al., 2022; Schulze et al., 2021). Additionally, research on reward sensitivity and threat reactivity suggests that individuals with pronounced narcissistic traits exhibit heightened responsiveness to ego threats (Chester & DeWall, 2023; Yang & Raine, 2024). However, these findings remain largely correlational, and causal inferences are limited. Developmental perspectives provide critical context, indicating that insecure attachment and inconsistent caregiving contribute to identity instability and maladaptive emotion regulation (Pincus et al., 2022). From this standpoint, narcissistic behaviors may be interpreted as defensive adaptations rather than fixed personality traits, thereby reducing stigma and informing more empathetic clinical approaches (Tyrer et al., 2022).

In organizational contexts, narcissistic traits present a paradox. While they may facilitate leadership emergence through confidence and charisma (Grijalva et al., 2020), substantial evidence indicates detrimental long-term effects, including interpersonal conflict, diminished team cohesion, and ethical risk (Nevicka et al., 2021; Campbell et al., 2023). Notably, conflicting findings in the literature suggest that contextual moderators such as organizational structure, accountability systems, and cultural norms play a decisive role in determining

outcomes. In high power-distance cultures, including Thailand, hierarchical norms may suppress feedback and enable the persistence of maladaptive leadership behaviors, amplifying their organizational impact.

Despite these advances, several limitations characterize the existing literature. Neurobiological studies frequently rely on small, cross-sectional samples, limiting generalizability and causal interpretation. Similarly, organizational research often depends on self-report measures, which are susceptible to social desirability bias. These methodological constraints highlight the need for longitudinal, multimethod, and cross-cultural research designs to enhance validity and theoretical integration.

In conclusion, NPD is best understood as a complex, biopsychosocial phenomenon shaped by the interaction of intrapsychic processes, neural mechanisms, and socio-cultural environments. Advancing the field will require sustained interdisciplinary collaboration to refine diagnostic frameworks, improve intervention strategies, and better understand the broader societal implications of narcissism.

Body of Knowledge

Contemporary understanding of narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) reflects substantial interdisciplinary advancement across psychiatry, personality psychology, developmental science, neuroscience, and organizational research. Early conceptualizations, grounded in psychoanalytic theory, emphasized disturbances in self-concept, fragile identity structures, and impaired interpersonal functioning. More recent empirical work, however, conceptualizes narcissism as a multifaceted condition emerging from the interaction of psychological, interpersonal, and biological processes (Pincus et al., 2022). According to the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR), NPD is classified as a Cluster B personality disorder

characterized by grandiosity, a persistent need for admiration, and deficits in empathy (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). Current perspectives extend beyond categorical diagnosis, emphasizing impairments in identity, self-direction, empathy, and intimacy within a dimensional framework (Skodol, 2024). A major development in the literature is the distinction between grandiose and vulnerable narcissism. While grandiose narcissism involves dominance and entitlement, vulnerable narcissism is marked by hypersensitivity, shame, and unstable self-esteem (Pincus et al., 2022). These forms are increasingly understood as interconnected expressions of dysregulated self-functioning.

Developmental research highlights the role of insecure attachment and maladaptive caregiving environments in shaping narcissistic traits (Caligor et al., 2024). Neuroscientific findings further implicate alterations in brain regions associated with self-referential processing and empathy, including the medial prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and anterior insula (Fan et al., 2022; Schulze et al., 2021). In organizational contexts, narcissistic traits may facilitate leadership emergence but are associated with long-term dysfunction, including conflict and ethical risk (Grijalva et al., 2020; Nevicka et al., 2021). Overall, NPD is best understood through an integrative biopsychosocial framework.

Recommendation

Based on this review, the findings have significant implications for clinical practice, organizational management, and future research. Clinically, early identification of maladaptive narcissistic traits is essential for preventing long-term impairments in interpersonal functioning and psychological well-being. Practitioners are encouraged to move beyond categorical diagnostic frameworks that emphasize overt grandiosity (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022; Skodol, 2024) and adopt dimensional approaches that assess disturbances in identity, emotional regulation, and relational capacity. The integration of

structured personality assessments and attachment-informed evaluations can enhance diagnostic accuracy and provide a more comprehensive understanding of narcissistic pathology.

Psychotherapy remains the primary intervention for narcissistic personality disorder (NPD), with evidence supporting approaches that promote emotional regulation, reflective functioning, and the development of a cohesive self-concept. Additionally, clinical training programs should better prepare practitioners to manage complex therapeutic dynamics, including transference, countertransference, and disruptions in the therapeutic alliance.

In organizational contexts, preventive strategies are critical to mitigating the adverse effects of narcissistic leadership. The implementation of multi-source assessment tools, such as 360-degree feedback systems, alongside transparent governance structures and psychologically safe communication channels, may reduce exploitative behaviors. These interventions are particularly relevant in high power-distance cultures, such as Thailand, where hierarchical norms may inhibit critical feedback.

Future research should prioritize longitudinal, multimethod, and cross-cultural designs to clarify developmental mechanisms and contextual influences, thereby supporting more culturally responsive clinical and organizational practices.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express sincere appreciation to scholars and researchers in the fields of psychiatry, neuroscience, and organizational psychology whose published work has contributed to the conceptual development of this review. Their ongoing efforts to advance the scientific understanding of personality disorders have provided an important foundation for the present synthesis. The author also acknowledges the valuable guidance

and constructive feedback provided by academic mentors and peer reviewers during the development of this manuscript. Their insights have strengthened the clarity, rigor, and interdisciplinary perspective of the review. In addition, appreciation is extended to colleagues and academic communities engaged in mental health research and clinical practice in Thailand. Their perspectives on cultural context, organizational dynamics, and mental health awareness continue to play an important role in shaping discussions on personality disorders and their broader social implications.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR). American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Braun, S. (2022). Toxic leadership and workplace mental health. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 823951.
- Caligor, E., Levy, K. N., & Yeomans, F. E. (2024). Narcissistic personality disorder: Contemporary diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 181(2), 105–118.
- Campbell, W. K., Hoffman, B. J., Campbell, S. M., & Marchisio, G. (2023). Narcissism in organizational contexts. *Journal of Management*, 49(1), 1–31.
- Chester, D. S., & DeWall, C. N. (2023). Narcissism, threat, and aggression: A neurobiological perspective. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 49, 101522.
- Fan, Y., Liu, J., Guise, K. G., Chen, H., Wang, J., & Zhu, Y. (2022). Dysfunction of the default mode network in pathological narcissism. *Human Brain Mapping*, 43(14), 4361–4375.
- Grijalva, E., Harms, P. D., Newman, D. A., Gaddis, B. H., & Fraley, R. C. (2020). Narcissism and leadership: A meta-analytic review of linear and nonlinear relationships. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 105(2), 195–215.

- Kealy, D., & Ogrodniczuk, J. S. (2023). Treating narcissistic personality disorder: Evidence-based psychotherapy. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 14(2), 152–164.
- Levy, K. N., Caligor, E., & Yeomans, F. E. (2022). Transference-focused psychotherapy for narcissistic personality disorder. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 45(3), 431–447.
- Nevicka, B., Ten Velden, F. S., De Hoogh, A. H. B., & Van Vianen, A. E. M. (2021). Narcissistic leaders: The benefits and costs of leadership narcissism. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 8, 409–435.
- Paris, J. (2023). The limits of pharmacotherapy in personality disorders. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 31(4), 201–210.
- Pincus, A. L., Cain, N. M., & Wright, A. G. C. (2022). Narcissistic grandiosity and vulnerability in clinical context. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 18, 421–446.
- Scalabrini, A., Mucci, C., & Northoff, G. (2023). Neural correlates of empathy deficits in narcissistic personality disorder: A systematic review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 149, 105143.
- Schulze, L., Dziobek, I., Vater, A., Heekeren, H. R., Bajbouj, M., & Roepke, S. (2021). Gray matter abnormalities in narcissistic personality disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 51(6), 1012–1021.
- Skodol, A. E. (2024). Rethinking personality disorder classification and treatment. *World Psychiatry*, 23(1), 12–24.
- Tyrer, P., Mulder, R., Kim, Y. R., & Crawford, M. J. (2022). Personality disorder diagnosis: Stigma and clinical utility. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 9(6), 405–414.
- Yang, Y., & Raine, A. (2024). The neurobiology of personality disorders. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 25(1), 15–32.